

To Village of Aurora Board,

Concerning the pending vote to restrict short term rental properties.

I am not able to figure out how this short term rental restriction ordinance helps anything. It is more likely that it will tamp down prosperity in general. Fewer places to stay in town means less patronage and less revenue for every business in and around the town. Less revenue for businesses means fewer jobs and lower paying jobs. It also means lower incomes for those doing business in , and living within the town. The village thrives on tourism.

More single family homes? Can't really be that many more. What percentage of those families will actually join the fire department, how many additional children in the school system, etc.? I think very few. How often will those few eat in local restuarants and shop in local businesses compared to the many out of towners? Everyday? Every week? I think not.

I see no appreciable difference in tax revenue. All property owners pay taxes regardless of single family or rental properties. Is the Board suggesting that families will be taxed more heavily than rental properties? That certainly would not entice me to buy a house in the Village. If I were shopping for a single family home and found out about of Aurora's tendency towards restrictive zoning. I would shop outside of the Village to avoid issues and government overreach on private property owners.

There is no upside to passing this ordinance. It is incorrect thinking, backwards and nonsensical.

Over the years I have seen Aurora grow and prosper. This ordinance is a step in the wrong direction.

Seth Semenza