

**VILLAGE OF AURORA BOARD OF TRUSTEES
RESOLUTION INTRODUCING LOCAL LAW #3 OF 2024
ENTITLED “TO REGULATE SHORT-TERM RENTALS IN THE VILLAGE OF
AURORA”**

Introduced by: Trustee Murphy

Seconded by: Trustee Bianconi

Dated: April 17, 2024

WHEREAS, the Village of Aurora Board of Trustees (the “Board of Trustees”) deems it to be in the best interests of the Village to adopt a Local Law to regulate short term rentals (“STRs) in the Village to balance economic opportunity with protections of community character and availability of housing stock for full-time residents.

WHEREAS, no other agency has the legal authority or jurisdiction to approve or directly undertake the enactment of a Local Law in the Village of Aurora, such that there are no other involved agencies within the meaning of the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act (“SEQRA”) with respect to the enactment of said Local Law, therefore the Village of Aurora Board of Trustees shall act as lead agency in this matter; and

WHEREAS, the Local Law is not deemed to have any impact on the environment and thus is not subject to SEQRA pursuant to 6 NYCRR 617.2; and

WHEREAS, the Cayuga County Planning Board 239 Committee reviewed previous versions, Local Law #5 of 2023, and Local Law #2 of 2024 and determined “no inter-municipal concerns and to be of local concern only”.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, as follows:

1. The foregoing recitals are hereby incorporated as if set forth herein at length.
2. The Board of Trustees hereby introduces proposed Local Law #3 of 2024 in the form annexed hereto for consideration and adoption.
3. The Board of Trustees deems that the adoption of the proposed Local Law is not an “action” as defined by SEQRA in that it will not have an effect on the environment, and thus, is not subject to SEQRA.
4. As Local Law #3 of 2024 does not significantly change the intent of the law reviewed by the Cayuga County Planning Board 239 Committee, on November 15, 2023 and, following re-introduction, on January 18, 2024, which found “no inter-municipal impacts”, the committee has declined a subsequent review.

