

It is now the 3rd version of the STR law this board has introduced.

Version 1 has 14 pages; Version 2 has 11 pages and Version 3 has 7 pages.

I guess this is progress to what seems to me an over complicated process.

From the outset a group of us 16 in all hired Brody Smith to help represent our interests before this board. Not in an adversarial role but to ensure we have some type of input.

Individually at these public hearings and through Brody we have tried to have input to this board to help create a fair and equitable law. He has even provided a suggested version of a STR law that would accommodate the stated goals. Maybe it has had some influence with you the board?

To date, of the meetings I have attended there has been no open discussion or questions by this board of changes that have been made and why. Why has the board gone from 14 pages to 7 pages without any discussion among board members during these Trustee meetings?

What discussions and decisions by members of this board have occurred that are not in the spirit of the open meetings law? I look forward to some answers.

As for the bigger picture for Aurora, it would seem to be the closing of Wells and it's unknown effects.

Why create a new bureaucratic burden on the Village and restrict tax paying property owners at a time of uncertainty? Are STRs the threat to the Village that some think they are? To quote the mayor will this create "unintended consequences."

I would suggest it is now the time to vote down this proposed law!